Application No. Applicant(s) 09/855.499 BLANC, PATRICK Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2618 Tu X. Nguyen All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Tu X. Nguyen. (2) Nataliya Dvorson. (4) Date of Interview: 04 April 2007. Type: a) ⊠ Telephonic b) □ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 13 and 14. Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f(x) was reached. g(x) was not reached. g(x) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In section Allowable subject matter of Final office action, the Examiner inadvertently not to address claims 13-14, which are dependent of claims 3 and 12, repectively. Attachment is a correct Final Office Action version. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO

FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner's signature, if required